1878
(L.R.)

File No. 18/78
Serial No. 1-2.

Subj:- Reduction of Certain abolished items comprised in the Guttu amount of some of the Kayam gutta villages of the province.

P.F.No. 75 of 1897.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

GR. 2 of 1836
" 4 – 61
" 14 – 72
" 31 – 76.

1878
192 .
(G R.)

File No. 18.

Serial Nos. 1–2.

Later references.

GR. 39 of 1879
" 40 – 84
" 30 – 87
" 55 – 89
" 108 – 91
" 121 – 96

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2.

In ~~Part~~ B for October 1878.

SUBJECT.

Reduction of certain abolished items comprised in the Gutta amount of some of the Khanjan gatta villages of the province.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Ledger

No 337.

Memo

Letter ~~No~~ 1057 dated 23rd September/78 from the Inam Comr.

With the above, the Inam Comr submits letter No 37 D 14/9/78 from the Inam Supdt which address, soliciting the orders of the Chief Comr relative to certain items comprised in the fullah Amount of Jama of the Rainputtah Villages of this Province

2. In his letter above quoted, the Inam Superintendent states that in most cases the Maximum land revenue has been alone adopted for the Rainputtah Amount, but, in some cases, the following items, generally consisting

sisting of a few fanams each, have been added to the amount of the land revenue and included in the sum of the Nainputtah, Viz/1. Sayar, 2. Dholei maniya, 3. Balagei maniya, 4. Yedagei maniya, & 5. Sanyasikan. It is stated that the items of Sayar, such as the levy on cloths &c, have been abolished and are not in the enjoyment of the Holders - In such cases, the Supdt proposes to adopt the last clause of para 2 of Luccan Precis No. 6.

3. The other items are, it is alleged, fees paid by the several classes on various occasions and have been abolished by the Govt. The Holders of such Nainputtah Villages therefore claim the

* Where a village pays [illegible] the Sayar is [illegible] as a village item, that is to say, should the village be relieved of the Sayar, it should ordinarily be considered, and the collection [illegible] exercised to the performance [illegible] in which the Sayar is [illegible], notwithstanding the [illegible] of any individual, has enjoyed those collections under these heads since the abolition of Sayar in Malabar in 1806. In such cases the payments should have the currency of only a limited period which should ordinarily be the life of the present recipient. In exceptional cases i.e. where the dues have been enjoyed for upwards of 20 years, the payment of half the receipts should be made to the 2nd life also. The 2nd member of the family, or the person who holder, will, in such cases, be considered as the present recipient for purposes of settlement under these terms. Where any village has been alienated to a Community the usual shares of the collections will continue to be enjoyed by it for 20 years, and half for 10 years more. (But no claim should, under any circumstances, be admitted where the claimant is not in the enjoyment of the dues, or commutation in lieu of them, at the period of settlement.

3

the reduction of such items from the patta amount. In the opinion of the Inam Supte, the remission would no doubt be equitable; but, as the present enquiry is merely as regards the validity of the tenure and does not enter into the question of the correctness of the amount originally fixed for the Kaingutlah, he has informed such parties that the Govt. will neither raise the patta amount nor lower it.

4. On this, the Survey & Inam Commr remarks that, the collection of the items referred to having been abolished by order of Govt., it appears unjust to require payments on account of those items from Kaingutladars and

× Govt 1057 Dy 23/9/78

and in the General Clause of Ch. Comm's order No. 3697 of the 8th Oct/73, these claims should be struck off the Ramgullidars future payments.

2. I beg to submit that this reference was unnecessary. It is distinctly laid down in para 11 of C.C. letter No. 743 of 25 Augt/77 that only the validity or otherwise of the grant of a village held as Kayemputta or Permanent assignment will be enquired into but that the Questions (1) whether the assignment of the village is liable to resumption and (2) whether such a holding is heritable and alienable, will not come within the

Page III of the Collr's letter dated 8th Sept/73 to the address of the Collector, it did not enforce the Ramgullidars Future payments.

5

Despy the Engineer

I have as to the question of Chirapan remitting certain dem. of revenue abolished I beg to invite attention to the marginally noted correspondence from which it will be seen that it was ruled that when petty taxes have been abolished the Govt cannot demand may waive the forfeitures without any loss either to Govt or ~~Govt~~ himself.

Col Thuillier
10/10/73

Please return by Mail
approved 15/10

Drafted
15/10.

from Supdt A. Dn, No 69/1.12
of 15 Jany/73
" Supdt Wynaad Dn.
No 148 of 7 May/62
To — do — No 80 of 12 do

1200 1878.

O. 2783/67

From The Inam Survey Comr

To Secy. to Govt

Dated 23d Septr 1878.

Despatched

Received 26/9

Regd 26th

Enclosures No.

General No. 1057 } 1878.
No.

Forwards with remarks, letter from the Inam Supt in Mysore soliciting orders relative to certain items comprised in the Hayenmgutta amumt of the Hayenmgutta Villages of this Province.

To Secretary.

26-9-78

7

No 1057 of 1878 8 1

Survey Commissioner's office

Poona 23rd September 1878

The collection of this item appears to have been abolished by order of Govt. & appears unpaid & Expenses payments on account of this item from Kaymanpottadars and in the journal sheet of Ch. Comrs order $\frac{3697^{x}}{30}$ of the 8th Oct. 1873 this item should appear in Credit of the Kaymanpottadars future payments

Secy Ch. Comr.

J Cumming
2nd Comr.

x not traced

8

No. 37

187 8.

From

Inam Superintendent in Mysore

To

Inam Commissioner in Mysore.

Despatched 18 September 1878.

Received 1878.

Enclosures.

REFERENCE.

~~*Your No.*~~ ~~*dated*~~ ~~187 .~~

Has the honor to solicit the orders of the Ch: Commr relative to certain items, comprised in the Kayem-gutta amount of the Kayem-gutta villages of this Province.—

From Surg Lt. Col. Fawcett
Inam Above

No. 37

~~Bangalore~~, Hosakote 14th Septr 1878.

From

Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

To

Inam Commissioner in Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to solicit the orders of the Chief Commissioner relative to certain items comprised in the Gutta amount of some of the Kayemgutta villages of this Province. In most cases the maximum land revenue has been alone adopted for the Kayemgutta amount, but in some cases the following items, generally consisting of a few fanams each, have been added to the amount of the land revenue and included in the sum of the Kayem-gutta, viz.

1. Sayer.
2. Dhobi Inamya.
3. Balagéi "
4. Yedagéi "
5. Samyáchar.

The items of Sayer, such as the tax on cloths &c, have been abolished and are not in the enjoyment of the Holders. In such cases the last clause of para 2 of its Precis No 6 should be adopted.

The

2. The other items are fees paid by the several classes on various occasions and have been abolished by the Govt.
The Holders of such Kayem gutta villages therefore claim the reduction of such items from the Gutta amount. The remission would no doubt be equitable, but, as the present enquiry is merely as regards the validity of the tenure and does not enter into the question of the correctness of the amount originally fixed for the Kayem gutta, I have informed such parties that the Government will neither raise the Gutta amount nor lower it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servt.

D. Wells

Supt of Inam Settls.

Compd.
MMK

12 Govt. of the Prov. of Mysore
Read 12 of 18th Oct. 1878

General No: 5590
R. 130

Dated 18th October 1878

Letter No 1057 Dt 23/9/78 from the Inam Comr. giving cover to Inam Supdt's No 37 Dt 14/9/78 and recommending the restoration of certain abolished items comprising in the Jutta Amount of some of the Rain-Jutta Villages of this Province.

Order thereon:—

The Ch. Comr observes that under the provisions of paras 2 & 3 of this Office Notification No 43 X of 25th Aug/77, the Inam Dept. should enquire into the validity or otherwise of the grant of a village claimed as Rain-Jutta and if the validity of the holding be established to confirm it on the existing Jutta Amount. The revision of

X not traceable

of the assessment 13/
in the manner suggested 14
in the above letter will
not therefore come within
the scope of the said enquiry.

2. With reference to the
proposed remission of
certain items of revenue
abolished the Ch. Comr
remarks that it has
already been ruled
by Mr Bowring, in
this office No 80 of
12/5-/62 to the address
of the Supdt of the late
Bangalore Division,
that where petty taxes
have been abolished
the Mamlutdars
may revise the Notifications
without any loss either
to Govt. or himself.

Draft for approval
GD
15/

GD
15/10

15/10
Secretary

To The Inam Comr with a copy of the correspondence noted in margin
" a Supdt of Inam Settlements

* from Supdt Bangalore Div No
of 7 May 1862
To - do - No 80 of

1878

36

From

To The Inam Commissioner
in Mysore
Supt. Inam Settlement

Dated 18th October

Despatched " —— " ——

Received

Enclosures No. 2 to Inam Comm.

1 Copy of letter No. 1148 of 7th May 62 from Supt. Bangalore division to Secretary

1 Copy of letter No. 80 of 12th May 62 from Secretary to Supt. Bangalore division

General No. 5590

R No. 130

Passing orders on the subject of reducing certain abolished items comprised in the gutta amount of certain Kanigoottu villages of this Province —

Rec'd